# TERPENOIDS AND A COUMARIN FROM FERULA SINAICA

#### HASSAN M. G. AL-HAZIMI

Department of Chemistry, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

(Revised received 3 February 1986)

Key Word Index—Ferula sinaica; Umbelliferae; sesquiterpene esters; a coumarin derivative; 3-methoxy-4,5-methylenedioxypropiophenone.

Abstract—A new coumarin has been isolated from the root of *Ferula sinaica*, together with known compounds ferutidin, a sesquiterpene alcohol and 3-methoxy-4,5-methylenedioxypropiophenone. The structures were elucidated mostly by spectroscopic methods.

### INTRODUCTION

Ferula sinaica (Umbelliferae) is abundant in the Khibar region of Saudi Arabia. No chemical investigations have been reported on this plant, although systematic studies have been carried out on a number of other species of this genus [1]. Ferula species are a rich source of coumarins especially umbelliferone (7-hydroxycoumarin) sesquiterpene ethers [2]. We have now isolated the previously known compounds, ferutidin (4), the sesquiterpene alcohol 5 and 3-methoxy-4,5-methylenedioxypropiophenone (6) and a new coumarin 2 from the benzene extract of the roots of Ferula sinaica.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The spectral data suggested that 2, C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, is a coumarin, since it gave a UV  $\lambda_{max}$  at 320 nm (log  $\epsilon$  4.1) and the IR spectrum showed the presence of a hydroxyl function (3450 and 1120 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and a strong carbonyl band at 1715 cm<sup>-1</sup>. However, most evidence for the suggested structure for 2 came from its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. The low field region of this spectrum showed two pairs of doublets at  $\delta$ 7.63 and 6.23 (J = 9 Hz for both) corresponding to two ortho-related protons. Irradiation of each one of the doublets collapsed the other to a singlet. The resonances of these two protons with 1.4 ppm difference in chemical shifts suggested that the two hydrogens were at positions 3 and 4 in a coumarin ring [3]. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR also contained three signals for three aromatic protons, one of them is meta-coupled while the other two are ortho-coupled protons. The spin decoupling experiments identified the proton at C-6 which in turn is meta-coupled to the proton at C-8. From the chemical shifts of the aromatic protons, it is clear that there is 7oxygenation in the coumarin ring. Indeed acid hydrolysis of 2 gave umbelliferone (1) identified by direct comparison (mmp, TLC, UV and <sup>1</sup>H NMR) with an authentic sample.

Based on spectral data, 2 was shown to be a bicyclic diterpene linked to the 7-hydroxyl of umbelliferone. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 2 is very similar to that of 3, a coumarin which has been recently isolated from Ferula loscosii [4], the only difference being an additional olefinic signal which integrated for one proton and another D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable one; the latter is assigned to the proton of the hydroxyl group which also appeared in the IR spectrum of 2. The location of this hydroxyl group could be inferred unambigously from the mass spectrum which showed peaks at m/z 480 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 462 [M-H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> and 380 [Mβ-hydroxyisovaleryl group]<sup>+</sup>, thus clearly showing that it is in the isovaleryl moiety. Further support came from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR which showed five methyl-singlets. Occasional irradiation in the region of complex signals between  $\delta 2.3$ and 1.4 did not affect the methyl singlets. Moreover, two methyl singlets shifted from  $\delta 1.2$  and 1.02 to  $\delta 2.15$  and 2.05 in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum on addition of Eu(fod- $d_9$ )<sub>3</sub> (molar concentration ratio of reagent:substrate = 0.2:1.0, respectively); this large shift indicates the close proximity of the oxygen function to two methyls in the isovaleryl moiety. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 2 also showed two broad singlets at  $\delta$ 4.92 and 4.54 assigned for the methylene protons at C-12'. The configuration of the CH<sub>2</sub>O-7 coumarinyl group on C-9' in 2 is inferred from the comparison of the chemical shifts of C-11' protons  $(\delta 4.19, d, J = 6.0 \text{ Hz})$  of this compound with those for the same protons in coladonin and isovaleryl coladonin (3)  $(\delta 4.15, d, J = 6.0 \text{ Hz}) [4].$ 

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 2 demonstrated the presence of two double bonds, one disubstituted and the other trisubstituted. The only possible location of the latter is as in structure 2; i.e. between the carbons 5' and 6'. The assignment of coumarinyl <sup>13</sup>C signals in 2 was based on the data described for 7-hydroxycoumarin [5], and by the aid of the single frequency off-resonance decoupling (SFORD) technique while the assignment of the olefinic and oxygenated carbons can be made in a straightforward

2418 Short Reports

$$RO^{\frac{1}{N}} = H$$

$$RO^{\frac{1}{N}$$

manner, based on multiplicities and chemical shifts. The SFORD technique was not very helpful in the assignment of aliphatic carbon signals, due to overlapping. However, the assignment of these signals (see Experimental) is based on comparison with those of a similar skeleton [6] in naturally occurring coumarinyl ethers.

Ferutidin (the p-anisic acid ester of jaeschkeanadiol) (4) which is widely distributed in Ferula species [7-10] was identified in Ferula sinaica by comparison of its IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR [8] and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum [9]. Compound 5, C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, has identical spectral data (MS, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR) to the compound recently isolated for the first time from Ferula communis [9].

Compound 6 ([M]<sup>+</sup> at m/z 208) gave a mass spectrum very similar to that reported for the naturally occurring 3-hydroxy analogue recently isolated from F. elaeochytris [10]. The mass spectrum showed a base peak at m/z 208 together with prominent signals at m/z 179, 151 [M – COEt] and 121. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 6 corroborates the structure. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum is also

consistent with the structure and is presented here (see Experimental), since it has not previously been reported. Compound 6 was previously identified from Ferula ugamica [11].

## EXPERIMENTAL

Mps are uncorr. NMR spectra were obtained in CDCl<sub>3</sub> on a Jeol 100 MHz with TMS as int. reference. Chemical shifts are expressed in  $\delta$  (ppm). MS were obtained with a direct inlet system at 70 eV.

Isolation and identification of the compounds. Dried and coarsely powdered roots (300 g) of Ferula sinaica (identified by Dr. Hassan M. Hassan, Department of Botany, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia) were extracted with C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (21.) for 7 days at room temp. The extract was filtered and coned in vacuo to give a waxy residue, which was chromatographed on a silica gel column (200 g) packed in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and eluted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-EtOAc, collecting 100 ml fractions. The fractions were compared by TLC (silica gel using CHCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent), and those

Short Reports 2419

giving similar spots were combined and further purified on silica gel (Merck) to give compounds 2 and 4-6.

Compound 2. Crystals, mp 91-94° (hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O);  $^{6OH}$  nm (log e): 209 (4.3), 260 sh (3.2) and 320 (4.1); UV  $\lambda_{me}^{MOH}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 209 (4.3), 260 sh (3.2) and 320 (4.1); IR  $\nu_{max}^{CHC_3}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3450, 3050, 2940, 1715, 1610, 1580, 1510, 1450 and 1120; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$ 7.63 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, H-4), 7.36 (d, J= 9.1 Hz, H-5, 6.8 (2H, H-6 and H-8), 6.23 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, H-3),5.5 (br s, H-6'), 4.92 (1H, br s, one C-12' proton), 4.5 (br s, one C-12' proton), 4.19 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, C-11' protons), 4.0 (1H, m, H-3'), 3.27 (1H, exchangeable proton), 1.2 (s, 3H), and between 1.02 and 0.84 Me signals integrated for 12H; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 480 [M]<sup>+</sup> (0.1), 464 (0.3), 462 (0.5), 452 (2.0), 424 (2.1), 410 (3.8), 382 (16.6), 380 (2.2), 220 (19.3), 162 (34.6), 57 (53.7); <sup>13</sup>CNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 162.1 (s, C=O), 161.8 (s, C-2), 161.1 (s, C-7), 155 (s, C-9), 146.2 (s, C-8'), 143.34 (d, C-4), 132.2 (s, C-5'), 128.66 (d, C-5), 122.8 (d, C-6'), 113.04 (d, C-6), 113.02 (s, C-10), 112.0 (d, C-3), 107.7 (t, C-12'), 101.3 (d, C-8), 78.8 (d, C-3'), 76.3 (s, C-O), 65.6 (t, C-11'), 54.75 (C-9'), 39.1 (C-2"), 38.8 (C-7'), 38.7 (C-4'), 37.2 (C-10'), 35.8 (C-1'), 23.4 (C-2'), 28.3 (C-15'), 23.4 (C-4"), 21.6 (C-5"), 15.5 (C-14'), 15.3 (C-13').

Compound 4. Mp 106-108° (lit. [8] 109-110°). IR and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data identical with those [10] for the same compound.

Compound 5. Gum,  $C_{27}H_{36}O_8$ , identical spectral data (MS,  $^1H$  NMR,  $^{13}C$  NMR) with the same compound [9]; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 488 [M]  $^+$  (0.03), 445 (13), 337 (5), 293 (6), 277 (8), 259 (12), 217 (62), 199 (36), 191 (58), 135 (100);  $^{13}C$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 170.37 and 170.8 (2 MeCO), 166.32 (s, C-1'), 163 (s, C-5'), 131.7 (d, C-3' and C-7'), 131.5 (s, C-8), 128.4 (d, C-9), 122.2 (s, C-1'), 113.8 (d, C-4' and C-6'), 85.7 (s, C-4), 79.2 (d, C-2), 75.1 (d, C-10), 69.45 (d, C-6), 55.48 (d, C-5), 54.48 (q, OMe), 51.08 (s, C-1), 41.04 (t, C-3), 39.4 (t, C-7), 37.1 (C-11), 26.07 (q, C-14), 21.07 (2 MeCO), 18.1 (q, C-15), 17.55 and 15.08 (each q, C-12 and C-13).

Compound 6.  $C_{11}H_{12}O_4$ . EIMS m/z (rel. int.); 208 [M] + (90%), 179 [M - Et] + (100), 151 [M - COEt] + (82), 121 (45) and 83 (88);  $^{13}C$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$  198.76 (s, C=O), 148.89 (s, C-5), 143.48 (s, C-3), 138.99 (s, C-4), 131.8 (s, C-1), 108.46 (d, C-6), 102.27 (d, C-2), 102.6 (t, OCH<sub>2</sub>O), 56.6 (q, OMe), 31.6 (t, C-2'), 8.48 (q, C-3').

Acknowledgement—The author is grateful to the Research Centre, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, for financial support through a research grant No. Chem. 1399/22.

#### REFERENCES

- Treasa, G. E. and Evans, W. C. (1983) Pharmacognosy, 12th edn, p. 205. Bailliere Tindall, London.
- Murray, R. D. H. (1978) in Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products (Herz, W., Grisebach, H. and Kirby, C. W., eds) Vol. 35, p. 199. Springler, Vienna.
- 3. Steck, W. and Mazurek, M. (1972) Lloydia 35, 418.
- 4. Pinar, M. and Rodriguez, B. (1977) Phytochemistry 16, 1987.
- Cussans, N. J. and Huckerby, T. N. (1975) Tetrahedron 31, 2719.
- Greger, H., Hofer, O. and Robien, W. (1983) J. Nat. Prod. 46, 510.
- Saidkhodzhaev, A. I. and Nikonov, G. R. (1974) Khim. Prir. Soedin 525.
- Diaz, J. G., Fraga, B. M., González, A. G., González, P. and Hernández, M. G. (1984) Phytochemistry 23, 2541.
- 9. Miski, M. and Mabry, T. J. (1985) Phytochemistry 24, 1735.
- Miski, M., Ulubelen, A. and Mabry, T. J. (1983) Phytochemistry 22, 2231.
- Kadyrov, A. Sh. and Nikonov, G. K. (1973) Khim. Prir. Soedin. 107.